Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

WO

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

La Joya Bay Resort, located on the east side of the intersection of Ennis Joslin Road and McArdle Road, Corpus Christi, Nueces County

Type of Operation:

Construction site

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 1, 2014

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$14,519

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$2,903 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$11,616 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - High Site/RN - N/A

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: April 19, 2013

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 19, 2013

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to post legible copies of the construction site notice and notice of intent ("NOI") at the construction site in a location where it is readily available for viewing by the general public, local, state, and federal authorities. Specifically the construction site notice was not legible and the NOI was not posted [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") General Permit ("GP") No. TXR15VI90, Part II, Section E.3(c)].
- 2. Failed to modify the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWP3") based on the results of inspections. Specifically, a review of the storm water inspection records conducted between November 22, 2012 through April 1, 2013 revealed several inspections that identified a need for additional best management practices ("BMPs"); however, no documentation was found in the SWP3 that indicated changes were made to BMPs at the Site as a result of these inspections. Additionally, the SWP3 was not updated when an additional entrance was added and when the perimeter controls were changed from silt fencing to hay bales between the Site and Oso Bay [30 Tex. Additional Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section F.7(d)].
- 3. Failed to maintain all sediment controls in effective operating condition. Specifically, the following deficiencies were noted: many of the sediment controls, including silt fences and hay bales, were damaged and ineffective; hay bales were completely engulfed in sediment; long sections between the Site and Oso Bay did not have control measures; the silt fencing between Ennis Joslin Road and the Site was not properly trenched; permanent storm drains were not protected and maintained; specifications for stabilization of the Site entrance were not implemented; and the bull rock did not meet the minimum depth of eight inches or the minimum length of 50 feet. Additionally, soil from the construction site was documented at greater than 50% of the above-ground height of the hay bale perimeter controls installed between the downhill slope of the Site and Oso Bay and on-site storm water inspection reports from November 22, 2012 to April 1, 2013 documented numerous deficiencies in the sediment controls that were not corrected [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section F.6(a), (b), and (c)].
- 4. Failed to place velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and along the length of any outfall channel. Specifically, velocity control devices were not installed and erosion was observed at the outfalls [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section F.4(d)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 15 days, post a legible copy of the construction site notice.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Submit written certification of compliance with a.; and
- ii. Remove and properly dispose of sediment from the overwhelmed sediment controls and affected areas where sediment controls are not effective.
- c. Within 45 days:
- i. Submit written certification of compliance with b.ii and b.iii; and
- ii. Evaluate the effectiveness of the existing sediment and erosion controls to ensure that they can sufficiently retain sediment on-site to the extent practicable with consideration for local topography, soil type, and rainfall. Based on this evaluation, begin maintaining in effective operating condition all sediment control measures, including repairing or replacing all silt fences, installing additional sediment controls to prevent sediment from escaping the Site, stabilizing all Site entrances, and repairing damaged velocity dissipation devises.
- d. Within 60 days:
- i. Submit written certification of compliance with c.ii; and
- ii. Modify the SWP3 based on sediment control repairs or additions required by c.ii.
- e. Within 75 days, submit written certification of compliance with d.ii.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Farhaud Abbaszadeh, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-0779; Melissa Cordell, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2483

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Ata O. (Alex) Azali, President, AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C., P.O. Box

8155, Corpus Christi, Texas 78468 **Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

Policy Revision 3 (S		alty Calcula	ation Works	heet (PC	•	ion August 3, 2011
DATES Assigned PCW		Screening 8-Jul-	2013 EPA Due			
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	AZALI ENTERPRIS RN106538309		100 - 100 -			
Facility/Site Region CASE INFORMATION	14-Corpus Christi	4	Major/	Minor Source	Minor	
Enf./Case ID No.	2013-1292-WQ-E Water Quality		Governmer		1660	m 1
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0 Maxim	um \$25,000		<u> </u>	
TOTAL BASE PENA	-	iolation base p	•		Subtotal 1	\$15,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+ Subtotals 2-7 are o Compliance Hi	story	-	5.0% Enhancement	Subto	otals 2, 3, & 7	-\$750
Notes	Enhancement for o	one NOV with same high performer	/similar violations and classification.	d reduction for		:
Culpability	No The Resp		0.0% Enhancement eet the culpability crit	terìa.	Subtotal 4	\$0
	ort to Comply Tot				Subtotal 5	\$0
Economic Ben	efit Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$591 \$7,050	0.0% Enhancement* *Capped at the Total EB \$	Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTA	LS 1-7			F	inal Subtotal	\$14,250
OTHER FACTORS A			1.9%		Adjustment	\$269
Notes	Recommended en	nancement to captu Violation	re the avoided cost a No. 2.			:
STATUTORY LIMI	Γ ADJUSTMENT				nalty Amount essed Penalty	\$14,519 \$14,519
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	nalty by the Indicated p	ercentage. <i>(Enter numb</i>	20.0% er only; e.g. 20 for 20% r	Reduction eduction.)	Adjustment	-\$2,903
Notes	De	ferral offered for ex	pedited settlement.			
PAYABLE PENALT	Y				<u> </u>	\$11,616

\$11,616

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C.

Case ID No. 47194

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN106538309

Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Heather Brister

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
Repeat Violator (Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
N/A		centage (Sub	total 3)
Compliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
High Perf	ormer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
Compliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with same/similar violations and reduction for high classification.	performer	
	Land the second		

	ening pate		Docket No. 2013-1292-WQ-E	* ReW
		AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C.		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Re	Case ID No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	ia [Statute]			ar de
		Water Quality Heather Brister		÷ .
	ation Number	,		
VIO				
	Rule Cite(s)		125(1) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elin rmit ("GP") No. TXR15VI90, Part II, Section	
Violatio	on Description	("NOI") at the construction site by the general public, local, st an investigation conducted or	of the construction site notice and notice of in a location where it is readily available f ate, and federal authorities, as documente in April 19, 2013. Specifically, the construc- at was posted was not legible.	or viewing ed during
			Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Human Health Ma Harm		:
	Release	Major Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual			
	Potential		Percent 0.0%	:
>>Programma	itic Matrix			
	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	Parameter Comment
		X	Percent 5.0%	-
	l .			
Matrix Notes		100% of the rule re	equirement was not met.	4
Auguster Courters of Land Arte Courter Art Mil Benal al Courter Marcons	L			
			Adjustment	\$23,750
				\$1,250
Violation Even	is			
		,	[Feederstein Feederstein F	erer mann. Litera von
•	Number of V	/Iolation Events 1	80 Number of violation	days
: :		dally weekly		
	mark only one with an x	montbly quarterly semiannual annual single event x	Violation Bas	e Penalty \$1,250
	4	single event x		
		One single eve	nt is recommended.	
Good Faith Effi		The second secon	Krys produce and the second course and the second	- Albahan daran
Spou raith Eff	JI IS TO COM	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	duction V to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
		Extraordinary Extraordinary	TO ESTIN/SCHOOL OFF	,
		Ordinary		
			ark with x)	:
		Notes The Respondent	does not meet the good falth criteria for this violation.	· ·
		1	Violation	Subtotal \$1,250
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	
verters to the left		ed EB Amount	\$2 Violation Final Pen	
		fins violatio	on Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits) \$1,210

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. Case ID No. 47194 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN106538309 Media Water Quality Percent Interest Depreciation Violation No. 1 5.0 Item Cost Date Required **Final Date** Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 Buildings \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) \$0 <u>\$0</u> \$0 Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 \$0 Land 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Record Keeping System** 0.00 \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Permit Costs 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Other (as needed) 19-Apr-2013 31-Mar-2014 0.95 n/a Estimated cost to post a legible copy of the construction site notice. Date required is the investigation Notes for DELAYED costs date and final date is the anticipated date of compliance. **Avoided Costs** ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) Disposal 0.00 Personnal 0.00 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0,00 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0,00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 <u>\$0</u> \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed)

\$50

TOTAL

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent							
Case ID No.		MOLO, LILIO.					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
						for makesama con a commentary and the capture of the contract	
,	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1					i crociic ziicci cae	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description			Times beauty	•••	znedi doe ouved,	Oncomic Costs	LD AHOUIL
redit bescription	NO COMMISSIONS						
Data Louis							
Delayed Costs					 		
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	#F0	10 A 2012	84.14 3877	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$50	19-Apr-2013	31-Mar-2014	0.95	\$2	n/a	\$2
Notes for DELAYED costs		date ar	nd final date is	the ant	icipated date of co	·	-
Avoided Costs	ANNUALI	ZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir	ig item (except	or one-time avoid	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$50			TOTAL		\$2

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C.

Case ID No. 47194

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN106538309

Media Water Quality

Violation No. 2

Percent Interest Years of Depreciation

5.0 15

Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs EB Amount Item Description No commes or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment
Buildings
Other (as needed)
Engineering/construction
Land
Record Keeping System
Training/Sampling
Remediation/Disposal
Permit Costs

	H		1			·
			0.00	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0
		~~~	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
\$250	19-Apr-2013	31-Mar-2014	0.95	\$12	n/a	\$12
					/	

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to modify the SWP3 based on sediment control repairs or additions. Date required is the investigation date and final date is the anticipated date of compliance.

#### Avoided Costs

Other (as needed)

Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)

ANNUALI	[ZE [1] avoided	costs before o	enterir	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	ded costs)
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0,00	\$0	\$0	. \$0
			0,00	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
\$250	29-Nov-2012	8-Jul~2013	1.52	\$19	\$250	\$269

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated cost that was avoided by falling to update the SWP3 based on the inspection results (estimated at \$50 per month). Date required is the date the first modifications were due. Final date is the screening date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$500	TOTAL	\$281
----------------------------	-------	-------	-------

	eening Date		1 Todd	Do	cket No.	. 2013-1292-W	Q-E	PĆW.
	Respondent Case ID No.	AZALI ENTERPR	ISES, L.L.C.					on 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Re							PCW R	evision August 3, 2011
	ia [Statute]							:
	Coordinator lation Number	Heather Brister						
•10			30 Tex. Admln. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section					
					), and (c)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
remove sec ground height Specifically, Including silt completely e not have con was not p maintal implemented the minimu documente perimeter cor on-site storn		remove sedir ground height, Specifically, th including silt fe completely ene not have contri was not pro maintaine implemented; a the minimum documented perimeter contr	ment from pe as document e following b ences and hay gulfed in sedi of measures; operly trenched; specificati and the buil r length of 50 at greater the ols installed in water inspec	rimeter contred during an eficiencies we ye bales, were iment; long so the silt fencired; permaner lons for stabil rock did not man 50% of the between the cation reports fideficiencies in the deficiencies in the cation reports fideficiencies fideficiencies in the cation reports fideficiencies fi	ols before in investigation of the damaged actions between it storm draization of the damaged actions between the minally, soil fine above-grownhill slorom Novernathe sediments sediments sediments at the sediments of the sedime	It reached 50% on conducted or many of the sed and ineffective; ween the Site an infinite and in Ennis Joslin Roains were not properly the Site entrance of Immum depth of rom the construction of the construction of the second height of	April 19, 2013. Iment controls, hay bales were nd Oso Bay did had and the Site rotected and e were not eight inches or ction site was the hay bale and Oso Bay and o April 1, 2013	
				corre	ected.		Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Huma		Matrix				
	Release	Major	Harm Moderate	Minor				:
OR	Actual					B	· · ·	
	Potential	x				Percent 1	5.0%	
>>Programma	The state of the s				an miscridas Su sultanas	<u> </u>		
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor		Percent	0.0%	:
						refeett	J, 0 76 J	
Matrix Notes	Human heal	th or the environ that would e				nificant amount the violation.	s of pollutants	
					Ad	justment	\$21,250	1
•							Γ	\$3,750
	gogena v namajama je tera	entale ar ordinare established	romin, responsable de	our actions to the	The de de divingence process	e ta rejarti. Ka Kroustoek	L Springer of the Association of the Control of the	45/750
Violation Even	<b>CS</b>							!
	Number of V	/iolation Events	3	] [	80	Number of vio	lation days	
	mark only оле with an х	weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	X			Violatio	n Base Penalty[	\$11,250
		single event		<u> </u>				
	Three mon	thly events are r		d from the Inv J date (July 8		date (April 19, :	2013) to the	:
Good Faith Eff	orts to Comp	ály 🚟 🛒	0.0%	Reduction	formed same or government		i de la compaction de l	\$0
:		Extraordinary	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/S	Settlement Of	fer 7		
		Ordinary			72	4		
		N/A	Х	(mark with x)			<u>-</u>	
1		Notes	The Respond		meet the g violation,	ood faith criteri	a for	
		<u>L</u>		uils	violation,	<del></del>		
Economic Bene	efit (EB) for	this violatio				Viol Statutory I	ation Subtotal	\$11,250
		ed EB Amount		\$308				#40 000
	Estinate.	~ ED MINOUILL	Mar				Penalty Total	\$10,889
Dalah Coentabas (ake			This vio	lation Final <i>i</i>	Assessed I	Penalty (adjus	ted for limits)	\$10,889

### **Economic Benefit Worksheet**

Respondent AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. Case ID No. 47194 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN106538309 Media Water Quality Percent Interest Depreciation Violation No. 3 5,0 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Description No commes or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$.0 Buildings 0.00 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 Land 0.00 \$0 n/a Record Keeping System 0.00 n/a Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 <u>\$0</u> Permit Costs 0.00 n/a Other (as needed) 19-Apr-2013 31-Mar-2014 0.95

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to remove sediment from affected areas and to evaluate the effectiveness of the existing sediment and erosion controls to ensure that they can sufficiently retain sediment on-site to the extent practicable with consideration for local topography, soll type, and rainfall and maintain all sediment control measures in effective operating condition. Date required is the investigation date and final date is the anticipated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)

Notes for AVOIDED costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided	costs before enter	ing item (except	for one-time avoi	ded costs)
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
	0,00	\$0	\$0	. \$0
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$6,500

TOTAL \$308

15

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

<u>\$0</u>

\$0

\$0

	ening Date		Do	ocket No. 2013-1292-WQ-E		PCW
		AZALI ENTERPRISES, I	L.L.C.		Policy Revision 3 (	September 2011)
<b>&gt;</b>	Case ID No.				PCW Revisio	on August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Re						:
	ia [Statute]					-
		Heather Brister				
Viol	ation Number	landing the second seco				:
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code		PDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part	III, Section	
			F.	4(d)		1
Violatio	on Description	length of any outfall o	hannel, as docume fically, velocity con	ces at discharge locations and a nted during an investigation co troi devices were not installed a at the outfalls,	nducted on	
				Ва	ise Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Human He	alth Matrix		<u></u>	:
	Release	Har Major Mada				
OR	Actual			1		
	Potential		<u>X</u>	Percent 5.0%	<b>7</b> 1	
	, occinati	L	L	3.0%	2	
>>Programma	tic Matrix					
	Falsification	Major Mode	rate Minor			
				Percent 0.0%	6	
			****			3
Matrix	Human heal	th or the environment h	nas been exposed t	o insignificant amounts of pollut	tants that	3
Notes		would not exceed p	protective levels as	a result of the violation.		
	L					
del Marie per	ervake jamoskigati		mda eraker kon babangema	Adjustment	\$23,750	į
emproprior resident material quater all versal della				Service Back Assetting	\$25,750	Ę
						\$1,250
was take the state of passion of the	u lan enga litara da man	n sa sa daga na	umportant and the entry of the	er i er alla de de companya de la c		3
Violation Even	ts.					
	Number of V	Violation Events 1		80 Number of violation	n dave	1
	Hamber of	Molddon Events 1		L 80 INCIDER OF VIOLACION	ii uays	j
		daily				
		weekly				
		monthly				
	mark only one with an x	quarterly x		Violation Ba	se Penalty	\$1,250
	WILLY ON X	semiannual			* *************************************	
		annual				
		single event				
	<u> </u>					3
	One quarterly	event is recommended	from the investiga	tion date (April 19, 2013) to the	e screening	
	1		date (July 8, 201	3).		
	L					
Good Faith Effe	orts to Com	المان الم	0.0% Reduction			\$0
	- Company Company Street Section Country of Company of	Before		Settlement Offer	Angagana materia, Fattiga	
		Extraordinary				
		Ordinary				
		N/A x	(mark with x)			
		The De	coondent dass ==+	most the good falth subsule "-	.]	
		Notes		meet the good faith criteria for violation.		-
			uno	110.000111		•
		er men men er en filletiskennen				
				Violatio	on Subtotal	\$1,250
Economic Bene	efit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Lim	it Test	
	Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$0	Violation Final Pe	nalty Total	\$1,210
		Th	is violation Final	Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits)	\$1,210
			A 1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (			Ψ±,C±V
	************************		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		mineralismonicalismostic results and a	member of the state of the

#### **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. Case ID No. 47194 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN106538309 Media Water Quality Percent Interest Depreciation Violation No. 4 5.0 15 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 <u>\$0</u> Land 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.00 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0,00 \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Permit Costs 0.00 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 Notes for DELAYED costs See Economic Benefit for Violation No. 3, **Avoided Costs** ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) Disposal 0.00 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 <u>\$0</u> \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

Approx. Cost of Compliance

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility. To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEO Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# CEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603283664, RN106538309, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, CN603283664, Azali Enterprises, L.L.C.

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

or Owner/Operator:

RN106538309, LA JOYA BAY RESORT

Classification: NOT APPLICABLE

Rating: N/A

**Complexity Points:** 

Regulated Entity:

N/A

Repeat Violator: N/A

CH Group:

09 - Construction

Location:

ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE INTERSECTION OF ENNIS JOSLIN ROAD AND MCARDLE ROAD, CORPUS CHRISTI, NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS

**TCEQ Region:** 

**REGION 14 - CORPUS CHRISTI** 

ID Number(s):

**STORMWATER PERMIT TXR15VI90** 

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: August 28, 2013

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: August 28, 2005 to August 28, 2013

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Heather Brister

Phone: (254) 761-3034

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A

occur?

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees: N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track, No.):

N/A

### E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

1

Date:

02/15/2013 (1053566)

CN603283664

Self Report? NO Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281.25(a)(4)

Classification:

Part II. Section E. 3.(c) PERMIT Part II. Section E. 3.(d) PERMIT

Description:

Failed to post copies of the construction site notice and NOI at the construction site in a location where it is readily available for viewing by the general public, local, state, and federal authorities until the completion of construction activity.

During the investigation conducted on December 19, 2012, the large construction

site notice and the NOI were not posted at the construction site.

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281,25(a)(4)

Part III. Section F. 6.(c) PERMIT

Description:

Failed to removed trapped sediment from perimeter controls before it reached

50% of the above-ground height.

During the investigation conducted on December 19, 2012, soil from the construction site was documented at greater than 50% of the above-ground height of the hay bale perimeter controls installed between the downhill slope of

the construction site and the Oso Bay.

#### F. Environmental audits:

N/A

### G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

#### H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

### Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

#### J. Early compliance:

N/A

#### Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	•
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C.	ş	
RN106538309	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
00 0 7	U	THE COLUMN THE COLUMN T

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-1292-WO-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Respondent operates a construction site located on the east side of the intersection of Ennis Joslin Road and McArdle Road in Corpus Christi, Nueces County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Site adjoins, is contiguous with, surrounds, or is near or adjacent to water in the state as defined in Tex. Water Code § 26.001(5).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 24, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$14,519) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eleven Thousand Six Hundred

Sixteen Dollars (\$11,616) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Nine Hundred Three Dollars (\$2,903) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As operator of the Site, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to post legible copies of the construction site notice and notice of intent ("NOI") at the construction site in a location where it is readily available for viewing by the general public, local, state, and federal authorities, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") General Permit ("GP") No. TXR15VI90, Part II, Section E.3(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 19, 2013. Specifically the construction site notice that was posted was not legible.
- 2. Failed to modify the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWP3") based on the results of inspections, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section F.7(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 19, 2013. Specifically, a review of the stormwater inspection records conducted between November 22, 2012 through April 1, 2013 revealed several inspections that identified a need for additional best management practices ("BMPs"); however, no documentation was found in the SWP3 that indicated changes were made to BMPs at the Site as a result of these inspections. Additionally, the SWP3 was not updated when an additional entrance was added and when the perimeter controls were changed from silt fencing to hay bales between the Site and Oso Bay.

- Failed to maintain all sediment controls in effective operating condition and failed to 3. remove sediment from perimeter controls before it reached 50% of the above-ground height, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section F.6(a), (b), and (c), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 19, 2013. Specifically, the following deficiencies were noted: many of the sediment controls, including silt fences and hay bales, were damaged and ineffective; hay bales were completely engulfed in sediment; long sections between the Site and Oso Bay did not have control measures; the silt fencing between Ennis Joslin Road and the Site was not properly trenched; permanent storm drains were not protected and maintained; specifications for stabilization of the Site entrance were not implemented; and the bull rock did not meet the minimum depth of eight inches or the minimum length of 50 feet. Additionally, soil from the construction site was documented at greater than 50% of the above-ground height of the hay bale perimeter controls installed between the downhill slope of the Site and Oso Bay and on-site storm water inspection reports from November 22, 2012 to April 1, 2013 documented numerous deficiencies in the sediment controls that were not corrected.
- 4. Failed to place velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and along the length of any outfall channel, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES GP No. TXR15VI90, Part III, Section F.4(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 19, 2013. Specifically, velocity control devices were not installed and erosion was observed at the outfalls.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C., Docket No. 2013-1292-WQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:

- a. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, post a legible copy of the construction site notice;
- b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
  - i. Submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.f below; and
  - ii. Remove and properly dispose of sediment from the overwhelmed sediment controls and affected areas where sediment controls are not effective.
- c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
  - i. Submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.b.ii and 2.b.iii, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.f below; and
  - ii. Evaluate the effectiveness of the existing sediment and erosion controls to ensure that they can sufficiently retain sediment on-site to the extent practicable with consideration for local topography, soil type, and rainfall. Based on this evaluation, begin maintaining in effective operating condition all sediment control measures, including repairing or replacing all silt fences, installing additional sediment controls to prevent sediment from escaping the Site, stabilizing all Site entrances, and repairing damaged velocity dissipation devises.
- d. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
  - i. Submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.c.ii, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.f below; and
  - ii. Modify the SWP3 based on sediment control repairs or additions required by Ordering Provision No. 2.c.ii.
- e. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.d.ii, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.f below; and
- f. The certifications of compliance required by Ordering Provision Nos. 2.b.i, 2.c.i, 2.d.i, and 2.e, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my

AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. DOCKET NO. 2013-1292-WQ-E Page 5

> knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Corpus Christi Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 6300 Ocean Drive, Suite 1200 Corpus Christi, Texas 78412-5503

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but

AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. DOCKET NO. 2013-1292-WQ-E Page 6

not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

AZALI ENTERPRISES, L.L.C.

## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	Date
Pomo Marie For the Executive Director	7/21)16 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is man	the entity indicated below my signature, and berein. I further acknowledge that the TCEO, in
<ul> <li>additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,</li> <li>Increased penalties in any future enforcen</li> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney General</li> </ul>	may result in: as submitted; eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief or to a collection agency; nent actions; ral's Office of any future enforcement actions
<ul> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by In addition, any falsification of any compliance de</li> </ul>	y law. ocuments may result in criminal prosecution.
Signature	3 /24 / 16 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	President.

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.